

1839-014 SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY
CHANCERY PAPERS

MANRY

VS

BARKER etal

1/1839

1217.

60p8.

Manny

"

} Bill &

Manny &c

1837 Augt. Deceit as filed

1839 June Rep: not

Manny
in Bly
Dartmouth

To the worshipping The county Court of Southamp-
ton sitting in Chancery

Humbly complaining beg leave
to show to your worships your complainant William
Mann - That sometime in the year of are certain
River Barker qualified as the guardian to your
complainant and his brother George Mann that he
received their property undivided & has continued
to hold it in that condition up to the present time
And that your complainant has arrived to the age
of 21 years and by arriving to that age he is entitled
to the immediate use & full enjoyment of his
property in the hands of River Barker his
former guardian the property consist of Sta-
ves 5 or 6 in number one half he entitle to &
prays your worships to appoint suitable
persons to divide them between your complain-
ant & his brother George and assign him
his portion having regard to number as well
as value But so it in may it please the
court your complainant is wholly remedy
at law and only releasable in equity to the
end he prays that River Barker in his own
right & as guardian ad litem to George Mann
may be made depts & compelled them to
answer fully the allegations here with contained
& grant him the count writ of Joly - direct-
ed & commanding &c & grant him such
other relief both general & special as may be
consistent with equity & good conscience &
he will ever pray &c as in duty bound

Witness for
the complainant

The answer of River Barker in his own right &
as guardian ad litem to George Manny saving to himself
the benefit of all just-exception answering says the alleg-
-ations contained in the foregoing bill of complaint of
William Manny are true so far as he knows or be-
-lieves and has no objection to the decree prayed for
and having answered says to be dismissed

William Manny
against-

River Barker guardian &

Pff
& In Chancery
Deft-

This day this cause
came on to be heard on the bill answer & argument of
counsel whereupon the Court doth adjudge order and
decree that Solomon D. Parker Barham Wrenn & Joseph
Clanton or any two of them divide the negroes belon-
-ing to William & George Manny from the estate of
their Father Lepta Manny dead now in the hands
of River Barker in two equal parts & assign
one to Wm Manny & the other to River Barker
guardian to George Manny having regard to num-
-ber as well as to value - and make report to
this court in order to a final decree

Manry } bdfry Deere

Manry

For comst.

Southampton County August Court 1837.

William Manry

against

River Barker in his own right and as Guardian ad litem to George Manry

Plff.

Deft

In Chancery

This day this cause came on to be heard on the bill, answer and argument of counsel, whereupon the Court doth adjudge order and decrees that Solomon D. Parker Basham Menns and Joseph Melanton or any two of them divide the negroes belonging to William and George Manry from the estate of their father, Joseph Manry decd. now in the hands of River Barker in to two equal parts and assign one to William Manry & the other to River Barker Guardian of George Manry having regard to number as well as value, and make report to this Court in order to a final decree

A Copy Teste J. R. Edwards clk

Mary

& Report

Mary

1839-1840

By Virtue of A decretal Order of Southampton Court bearing date August Court 1839 we the Commissioners acting under said decree proceeded to appraise the negroes belonging to Williams and George Manry as follows.

Cherry & Child Mary	\$ 400
Martha	400
Nancy	275
Isham	275
	<u>\$ 1350</u>

Lot No 1 To Wm Manry	
Cherry & Child Mary	\$ 400
Nancy	275
	<u>\$ 675</u>

Lot No 2 To River Barker		
Martha	400	
Isham	275	
	<u>675</u>	1350

Respected submitted

Jos. L. Parker
 Barham Wrenn
 J. Blanton